Attendees: See Attachment A.

1. **Vice President Mamoru Akiyama called the meeting to order at 0900 hours.**

Vice President Akiyama chaired the meeting on behalf of PNC President WANG Naiyan. The Vice President apologized for the absence of PNC President WANG, who again encountered visa application process challenges, and as a result, was not able to attend the PNC meeting. On behalf of President WANG, Vice President Akiyama conveyed best wishes to all Council participants for a productive meeting.

The Vice President then welcomed meeting attendees, asked each participant to introduce himself or herself, and asked each participant to state his or her affiliation. He said he was pleased to preside at this PNC meeting on behalf of President WANG. Representatives from nine of the 12 PNC member organizations attended.

2. **Opening Remarks** - Vice President Mamoru Akiyama.

The Vice President expressed his deepest sympathy to American friends and families, as well as to friends and families from other nations, who lost loved ones as a result of the September 11, 2001 terrorism in New York City and elsewhere. Former PNC Secretary/Treasurer Octave J. Du Temple suggested that the Council initiate a formal statement or comment about the terrorist actions. After a brief discussion, the Council proposed, voted on, and unanimously approved this resolution: the Pacific Nuclear Council expresses its deep sorrow and sympathy to our American colleagues, as well as to our colleagues from other countries, resulting from the acts of terrorism on September 11, 2001.

In addition, former PNC Secretary/Treasurer Du Temple asked if the PNC would consider responding to the request of U.S. President George W. Bush for enhanced international cooperation to combat and defeat terrorism. Latin American Section of the American Nuclear Society (LAS/ANS) Representative Jorge Spitalnik provided an overview of his recent participation at related international meetings, and recommended that an appropriate statement be prepared for consideration later in the meeting (see Item #17 of these minutes for details). Atomic Energy Society of Japan Representative Shunsuke Kondo concurred with LAS/ANS Representative Spitalnik, and Vice President Mamoru Akiyama asked that this issue be discussed during the PNC luncheon.

Finally, the Vice President thanked all meeting participants for their attendance at this meeting of the Council, and said that many important issues will be discussed during today's meeting.

3. **Approval of Pacific Nuclear Council Meeting Agenda** - Vice President Mamoru Akiyama.

The Vice President recommended that these additional items be added to the existing Agenda Item #12, Other Business:
Proposal for Election of the Next PNC Vice President/President-Elect

Proposed Pacific Nuclear Council Statement on Terrorism.

The meeting attendees concurred with the revisions to the agenda as proposed by Vice President Akiyama. See Attachment B for the final meeting agenda.

4. Approval of Pacific Nuclear Council Meeting Minutes.

DRAFT minutes of the PNC meeting of June 17, 2001 in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA, were distributed to the PNC by electronic mail on November 6, 2001. Initially, Vice President Mamoru Akiyama asked meeting attendees to take a few minutes to review these DRAFT minutes, in response to a request from Australian Nuclear Association (ANA) Representative Clarence J. Hardy, with which the Vice President and other meeting participants concurred.

In reply to a question from ANA Representative Hardy about whether the proper designation of these minutes as a DRAFT document was correct, the Vice President explained that the DRAFT minutes are prepared by the Interim Secretary and then sent to President WANG Naiyan for review and comment. After incorporation of the President's comments, the DRAFT minutes are issued for review by the Council, and are subject to consideration and formal approval by the Council at its next meeting.

Vice President Akiyama then asked if there were any corrections or revisions to these DRAFT minutes. In response, these comments were offered:

- Former PNC Secretary/Treasurer Octave J. Du Temple asked that the attendee and regrets lists referenced on page one be identified by a specific attachment reference; and

- ANA Representative Hardy asked that the last sentence in the second paragraph of the Permanent Secretariat Item, page 13, be revised to read as follows: "In response, ANA Representative Hardy explained that such a statement from the respective member organization is necessary so that a formal recommendation of an individual is made to the PNC."

Next, the Council unanimously approved the DRAFT minutes of the June 17, 2001 PNC meeting, as issued via electronic mail on November 6, 2001, and as amended above. Finally, Canadian Nuclear Society Representative Benjamin Rouben questioned if the deadline for submission of proposals for a permanent secretariat to administer the operations of the PNC, given in the DRAFT minutes as September 30, 2001, was correct. The Vice President stated that the reference in the minutes, as presented on page 15, was correct, and further said that this item was an on-going activity and would be discussed later in the meeting (see Item #15 of these minutes for details).


Task Group Co-Chair Hori reminded the Council that the purpose of this activity is to chart the future course for next generation reactors (NGR) and to develop a DRAFT report on recommended cooperative actions for NGRs to be considered by the PNC. He next provided an overview of the good prior achievements of this task group. See Attachment C for his report.
The Task Group Co-Chair further reminded meeting attendees that those member organizations of the PNC from countries with related on-going NGR Development programs were asked to nominate participants for this task group, and some nominations have been received. Vice President Mamoru Akiyama then urged member organizations who have not nominated task group participants to send their nominees to the PNC secretariat and to Task Group Co-Chair Hori as soon as possible. He further noted that a replacement will be needed for former Task Force Co-Chair Robert Vijuk, who recently resigned from this assignment due to his retirement.

Task Group Co-Chair Hori agreed with the Vice President, urging the remaining PNC member organizations to submit their nominees for the task group as soon as possible, since there has been no progress made since the PNC 2000 Fall Meeting in Seoul, Republic of Korea. Future activities of the task group will be focused on developing a DRAFT report on recommended cooperative actions for NGRs to be considered by the PNC, with due consideration of the following initiatives since the start of this activity:

- The U.S. Department of Energy's Generation IV program Internationalized after the conduct of the 1st PNC Workshop on NGRs, Long Beach, California, USA, November 1999.
- The International Atomic Energy Agency's INPRO (International Project on Innovative Nuclear Reactors and Fuel Cycles) ñ Initiated after the 2nd PNC Workshop on NGRs, Tokyo, Japan, April 2000.


Vice President Akiyama reported that a new nominee would be needed for Steering Group Chair Hattori, since the Steering Group Chair recently changed positions in the Japanese nuclear industry. He has been discussing potential nominees for this leadership position with his colleagues at the Tokyo Electric Power Company (TEPCO) in Japan. TEPCO has made some suggestions, and the Vice President hopes to conclude this discussion with TEPCO by the end of 2001. Canadian Nuclear Association/Canadian Nuclear Society Representative Frederick C. Boyd suggested that Vice President Akiyama consider asking an existing member of the steering group to become the new chair, if the discussion with TEPCO did not result in identification of an appropriate candidate.

The Vice President congratulated Steering Group Chair Hattori on his excellent work on behalf of the PNC. Canadian Nuclear Society Representative Benjamin Rouben cited the good progress of this steering group during the last two years under the leadership of Chair Hattori, particularly his dedicated efforts in preparing the many iterations of the steering group's DRAFT report.


On behalf of Public Information and Outreach Working Group Co-Chair Tanaka, AESJ Representative Nariai asked that PNC meeting participants/member organizations send to Working Group Co-Chair Tanaka one or two appropriate working group nominees (name, affiliation, address, and E-Mail address). AESJ Representative Nariai explained that previously requested nominations had not been received and that these nominations were urgently needed, as Co-Chair Tanaka would like to establish an E-Mail network for the working group.
In response to this request, Australian Nuclear Association (ANA) Representative Clarence J. Hardy stated that the ANA had already sent a nominee for this working group. It was the consensus of meeting attendees that a communication be prepared and sent to all PNC member organizations, asking that their nominees to this working group be immediately sent to Working Group Co-Chair Tanaka and to the interim secretary. Vice President Mamoru Akiyama agreed to forward ANA Representative Hardy’s comments to the Working Group Co-Chair.

8. Report from the Codes and Standards Migration Task Group - Vice President Mamoru Akiyama for Task Group Chair Shami S. Dua.

Vice President Akiyama stated that a report had not been received from Chair Dua, on behalf of the Codes and Standards Migration Task Group. He asked the PNC Interim Secretary to follow up with Task Group Chair Dua to obtain a current status report.

Atomic Energy Society of Japan (AESJ) Representative Shunsuke Kondo said that the AESJ previously submitted nominees for this task group, and he also confirmed that no information had recently been received from the Task Group Co-Chair. He suggested that the work of this task group move forward, including the organization of relevant sessions for the 13th Pacific Basin Nuclear Conference, if appropriate.

A lengthy discussion was then conducted concerning recent progress of the four PNC task/working groups. Canadian Nuclear Association/Canadian Nuclear Society Representative Frederick C. Boyd asked for clarification of: (1) the objective for each group; and (2) assuming all groups were eventually going to prepare a report, who would be the audience for each of these reports? He further suggested that, where appropriate, the task group(s) should develop a detailed action plan; obtain appropriate leadership; and request nominees from PNC member organizations. Australian Nuclear Association (ANA) Representative Clarence J. Hardy agreed with CNA/CNS Representative Boyd regarding the need for improving the organization of the task groups’ efforts. He was surprised that Public Information and Outreach Working Group Chair Yasumasa Tanaka was not aware of the nomination from the ANA.

ANA Representative Hardy went on to recommend that each task group chair prepare:

- A statement of purpose;
- A report on available state-of-the-art information relating to the task group’s purpose. This information would be prepared for consideration by the Council, and if approved by the Council, for possible presentation at upcoming 13th Pacific Basin Nuclear Conference.
- A set of recommendations for Council consideration on how to move the task group forward; and
- Upon Council consideration of these report(s) and a positive final decision(s) on publication of the respective report(s), the final task group report(s) would then be published.

Japan Atomic Industrial Forum Representative/PNC Task Group on Next Generation Reactors Co-Chair Masao Hori responded to the prior inquiry from CNA/CNS Representative Boyd, by stating that the audience for all PNC reports is, in fact, the Council. He referenced Attachment B from the June 17, 2001 PNC meeting minutes, which states that a DRAFT report for his task group will be prepared for consideration by the Council. The Latin American Section of the American Nuclear Society (LAS/ANS) Representative Jorge Spitalnik agreed with JAIF Representative/Task Group Co-Chair Hori.
CNA/CNS Representative Boyd concurred with this conclusion, saying that he was interested in identifying the eventual audience for the PNC working group reports, after approval of each report by the Council. For example, he cited the prior dissemination of reports by the International Nuclear Societies Council (INSC), which published a compilation of its working group reports in a book entitled Worldwide Integrated View on Main Nuclear Energy Issues. He cautioned the Council to avoid duplication and/or conflict with the reports of other international organizations, such as the INSC.

ANA Representative Hardy noted that the PNC budget provided funding for the publication of task/working group reports, and also stated that where possible, it would be a good idea to nominate the same individual (or individuals) to related PNC and INSC working groups. He emphasized that the ANA has implemented this recommendation and provided specific examples.

LAS/ANS Representative Spitalnik agreed with ANA Representative Hardy, saying that good coordination had already been achieved between the INSC and the International Nuclear Energy Academy, and further suggesting that the PNC make a similar arrangement with the INSC. He explained that the content of INSC reports would generally be more global, while the PNC reports would focus on the Pacific Region.

Korea Atomic Industrial Forum (KAIF) Representative Ho Hyun Chang said that the task/working group activities are of primary importance to the Council and asked that current membership lists of all task/working groups be distributed to PNC member organizations. LAS/ANS Representative Spitalnik agreed with KAIF Representative Chang, and suggested that in follow up communication(s), member organizations be given a specified time to provide task/working group nominees. If any member organization did not reply by the given deadline, the Council will assume that this member organization is not interested in participating, and the activity should then proceed without the member organizations who have not responded.

Vice President Mamoru Akiyama summarized the main points of the discussion and stated that he would work with the task/working group chairs to take expedited action.

9. Operations of the Pacific Nuclear Council Interim Secretary/Treasurer.

Interim Secretary's Report of Activities, June 2001 to November 2001 - See Attachment D.

Interim Secretary/Treasurer W. Michael Diekman expressed appreciation for the help of American Nuclear Society Staff Members Mary J. Keenan and Dave Slaninka with the meeting and luncheon arrangements. He also advised that the PNC Web Site Address is:


He then reviewed each item of his report with the Council. In response to an inquiry from former PNC Secretary/Treasurer Octave J. Du Temple, he provided clarification about the visa application request challenges encountered once again by PNC President WANG Naiyan. Further, in response to a question from Australian Nuclear Association Representative, Clarence J. Hardy, regarding distribution of background information for upcoming meeting agenda items referenced in the interim secretary's report, the secretary stated that the appropriate background information for each item would be distributed to meeting attendees upon discussion of the respective item.

The interim secretary's report was unanimously approved.
The PNC Interim Treasurer’s report was next presented for the period September 1, 2000 to August 31, 2001 (see Attachment E). The interim secretary/treasurer reviewed each line item of actual revenue and expense, and explained major variances from the budget. The report showed an operating deficit of about $5,300 U.S. Dollars for the period, with the distribution of 2000-2001 dues billings pending by the interim secretary/treasurer.

Former PNC Secretary/Treasurer Du Temple cautioned the interim secretary/treasurer to distribute the 2000/2001 dues billing promptly and that improvement was needed to keep the solicitation and payment of member organization dues on schedule. In response to an inquiry from Canadian Nuclear Association/Canadian Nuclear Society Representative Frederick C. Boyd, the interim secretary/treasurer stated that the billings for 2000/2001 and 2001/2002 would be timed so as not to provide an undue hardship on member organizations.

Next, former PNC Secretary/Treasurer Du Temple noted that with the current world situation, it would be very helpful to solicit the Indian Nuclear Society (INS) and the Pakistan Nuclear Society (PNS) for membership in the PNC. He further explained that the Council may incur a possible financing obligation of having to provide complete travel support for INS and PNS Representatives to attend future PNC meetings. Immediate Past President Rolland A. Langley, responding to this inquiry, declared that this issue had been addressed during his tenure as PNC President, and at that time, the Council did not favor adopting this proposal.

The Interim Treasurer’s Report for the period September 1, 2000 to August 31, 2001 was unanimously approved.


A. Action 96-02. - Establishing improved relations with nuclear scientists and engineers from the DPRK.

S.R. Hatcher to follow up with Democratic People’s Republic of Korea (DPRK) and other Nuclear Societies in the Pacific Rim to encourage them to join PNC.

ONGOING: This action will be included as part of an ongoing member recruiting activity, per a decision at the December 5, 1998 PNC meeting. Special efforts, although unsuccessful, had recently been made to encourage nuclear scientists from the DPRK to participate at the Pacific Basin Nuclear Conference-2000 in Seoul, Republic of Korea, and at the 2001 American Nuclear Society Annual Meeting in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA. Vice President Mamoru Akiyama stated that no contact was made with nuclear scientists and engineers from the DPRK for the purpose of requesting their participation at this meeting of the PNC.

It was the consensus of meeting attendees to keep pursuing this activity, and that contact with the DPRK be attempted for future PNC meetings by President WANG Naiyan, Past President Byong Whi Lee, and/or Korea Atomic Industrial Forum Representative Ho Hyun Chang. Former PNC Secretary/Treasurer Octave J. Du Temple advised the Council of the ANS’ prior contacts with the DPRK Mission to the United Nations, offering this option as an alternate approach.

In addition, former PNC Secretary/Treasurer Du Temple presented an overview of prior nuclear reactor training initiatives proposed to DPRK Representatives, which were not implemented. Immediate Past President Rolland A. Langley provided highlights of a prior safety exchange activity within the PNC, which had been under the direction of Mr. Kenneth H. Talbot. He suggested that the PNC consider appointing a
Task Group on Safety and Training, and through the task group’s chair, approach the DPRK and other developing countries regarding long term safety and training assistance.

B. Proposed New PNC Member Organization - Nuclear Society of Russia

The status of a potential new PNC member organization from the Nuclear Society of Russia (NSR) was summarized by Immediate Past President Rolland A. Langley. He recently joined the NSR as an individual member, which could be of help in working with this organization, and will initiate another follow up communication, as there has been no response to his prior contacts. Next, his prior personal contacts and communications with the NSR were highlighted.

It was suggested by Latin American Section of the American Nuclear Society Representative Jorge Spitalnik that the Pacific Basin Nuclear Conference 2002 meeting organizers ask the NSR to participate at this conference and also to attend the concurrent meeting of the PNC. Vice President Mamoru Akiyama advised the Immediate Past President that he consider asking Japan Atomic Industrial Forum Representative Kazuhisa Mori to contact the NSR on behalf of the PNC.

C. Applications for Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) Status with the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)

Canadian Nuclear Association/Canadian Nuclear Society (CNA/CNS) Representative Frederick C. Boyd asked if the PNC application for permanent Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) standing with the International Atomic Energy Agency had been submitted. In response, Immediate Past President Langley explained that this action had not yet been completed, and he will follow up with President WANG Naiyan as needed. In addition, CNA/CNS Representative Boyd recommended that the PNC apply for NGO status with the UNFCCC secretariat.

11. Statement on the Role of Nuclear Energy in Meeting Regional Energy Needs -- Vice President Mamoru Akiyama.

Vice President Akiyama asked that an updated DRAFT (dated August 15, 2001) of the PNC Statement on the Role of Nuclear Energy in Meeting Regional Energy Needs, including the revisions requested during the June 17, 2001 Council meeting, be distributed to meeting participants for review and comment. He thanked Immediate Past President Rolland A. Langley for the preparation of the DRAFT statement that was reviewed by the Council at the Spring 2001 meeting.

He further explained that subsequent to this PNC meeting, the Immediate Past President suggested that the statement title be changed from The Role of Nuclear Energy in Meeting Regional Energy Needs, as originally proposed, to Statement on Global Warming and Nuclear Power. As a result, the Vice President also requested consideration of this proposed new title.

After a brief discussion, it was that consensus of meeting attendees to focus and seek feedback on the original title. Initially, Canadian Nuclear Society Representative Benjamin Rouben asked that the word Regional be deleted from this proposed title, but this suggested revision was not adopted. Australian Nuclear Association (ANA) Representative Clarence J. Hardy then proposed adding the phrase and Reducing Carbon Dioxide Emissions to the end of the title, and this recommendation was formally proposed, voted on, and unanimously approved by the Council. Please refer to Attachment F for a copy of the final approved statement.
Canadian Nuclear Association/Canadian Nuclear Society (CNA/CNS) Representative Frederick C. Boyd next suggested that the statement, along with an appropriate cover letter, be distributed to international and national organizations involved with climate change issues. ANA Representative Hardy asked that CNA/CNS Representative Boyd’s suggestion be modified to begin the distribution with the United Nations and the International Atomic Energy Agency and also asked that PNC member organizations forward the statement to appropriate organizations within their countries. Latin American Section of the American Nuclear Society Representative, Jorge Spitalnik, advised the Council how the International Nuclear Societies Council (INSC) distributed its recently-approved Statement on Reducing Global Carbon Dioxide Emissions. He suggested that the PNC could proceed in a similar way, and declared that as many favorable letters/statements as possible are needed.

12. 13th Pacific Basin Nuclear Conference (PBNC) - Vice President Mamoru Akiyama for President WANG Naiyan.

On behalf of the PNC President WANG and the 13th PBNC organizers, the Chinese Nuclear Society (Beijing, China, 100822), the Vice President provided an update on preparations for the meeting. He informed meeting attendees about a recent Electronic Mail invitation of November 4, 2001 received from the meeting organizers (see Attachment G). Conference dates are October 21 to 25, 2002, and the 13th PBNC Web Site address is: <http://www.ns.org.cn/pbnc2002.htm> or <http://www.ciccst.org.cn/pbnc2002>. The Vice President urged meeting participants and all interested colleagues to refer to the preceding web site(s) for more information on the 13th PBNC.

Further, Vice President Akiyama reminded the meeting participants of the deadline for abstracts, which is January 31, 2002. Both Canadian Nuclear Association/Canadian Nuclear Society Representative Frederick C. Boyd (in person) and Canadian Nuclear Society Representative Paul J. Fehrenbach (via prior Electronic Mail message) expressed concern over the lack of face-to-face meetings of the International Steering and Technical Program Committees. The Vice President agreed to discuss this concern with the meeting organizers, proposing that relevant face-to-face meeting(s) be organized. He also suggested that in the interim, use of mail, electronic mail, and access to the 13th PBNC Web Site(s) be used as possible alternatives to face-to-face contact.


ANS Representative Langley said that the Society is honored to serve as the host for the 14th PBNC, which will be conducted at the Sheraton Waikiki, Honolulu, Hawaii, USA, March 21-25, 2004. He further advised meeting attendees that the hotel contract had been approved by all parties, and that it provides for a range of attractive room rates, comparable to those of the 13th PBNC. See Attachment H for details of his report.

He then stated that the ANS President, Dr. Gail H. Marcus, is currently considering a list of potential candidates to serve as the General Chairman and that a nominee for this position is expected to be named in the next few months. ANS Representative Langley further declared that the ANS will adhere to all PNC rules for the conduct of the 14th PBNC.

14. Proposals for the 15th Pacific Basin Nuclear Conference -- Vice President Mamoru Akiyama for President WANG Naiyan.

At the June 17, 2001 PNC meeting, Vice President Akiyama asked that the interim secretariat work with President WANG Naiyan to develop an appropriate communication package in order to solicit formal
proposals to host the 15th PBNC. He asked that the meeting attendees direct their attention to a November 7, 2001 communication that was recently approved by President WANG and distributed on his behalf by the interim secretariat (see Attachment I).

The communication from President WANG had requested PNC member organizations to submit applications to host the 15th PBNC for consideration at this meeting. However, due to the recent distribution of this communication, it was the consensus of the Council that this decision be deferred until PBNC 2002, next Fall. In particular, Australian Nuclear Association (ANA) Representative Clarence J. Hardy and Nuclear Energy Society (NES) (Taipei, China, 10772) Representative Ruey-Yau Wu recommended that based on the recent availability of the solicitation communication, it would be impossible to make a firm proposal to host the 15th PBNC at this PNC meeting. NES Representative Wu reminded the Council that Dr. Thomas T. Tseng, on behalf of the NES, advised the June 17, 2001 PNC meeting participants that he had sent an Electronic Mail communication to the PNC secretariat indicating preliminary interest from his member organization in hosting the 15th PBNC.

After a brief discussion, it was the consensus of the Council that the following schedule be adopted:

- A formal communication be prepared and distributed to member organization representatives no later than January 15, 2002. This communication should request that indications of interest to host the 15th PBNC be submitted to President WANG Naiyan by March 31, 2002, with a copy of each proposal to be sent to the interim secretariat. Appropriate background information related to hosting a PBNC should be included in this communication. The interim secretariat was requested to send all expressions of interest to the PNC member organization representatives prior to the Spring 2002 meeting.

- The Council will conduct an initial consideration of expressions of interest to host the 15th PBNC at the Spring 2002 PNC meeting. A formal Request for Proposal will then be distributed to interested member organizations after the Spring 2002 PNC meeting, with a submission deadline of September 21, 2002. Similarly, the RFPs to host the 15th PBNC should be distributed to PNC member organization representatives prior to the Fall 2002 meeting.

- Firm proposals would then be considered at the Fall 2002 PNC meeting, with the final decision regarding selection of the host for the 15th PBNC to be taken at that meeting.

The Vice President thanked meeting participants for their help in establishing the preceding schedule, and is looking forward to reviewing the expressions of interest to host the 15th PBNC at the Spring 2002 PNC meeting.

A discussion next ensued on the related issue of clarification for PBNC Criteria and Procedures, Category C, Related Item C-1, as requested by ANA Representative Hardy. The Vice President noted that the detailed PBNC Criteria had been attached to the communication of November 7, 2001. The current requirements for Related Item C-1 states that 10 percent of booth rental cost or US $20 per PBNC registrant, which ever is the larger amount, shall be donated to the PNC.

ANA Representative Hardy recommended that Criterion C-1 be revised to clearly state that a fixed fee of $10 k U.S. Dollars be donated to the PNC by the PBNC host organization(s). Latin American Section of the American Nuclear Society (LAS/ANS) Representative Jorge Spitalnik agreed with ANA Representative Hardy regarding the need for clarification. However, he asked that the current criterion be significantly increased to a maximum donation of $20k in order to provide increased funding to help offset current PNC operating deficits. In response, ANA Representative Hardy did not agree with LAS/ANS Representative
Spitalnik's proposal to increase the maximum donation, saying that the PNC should not require the PBNCs to bear the brunt of financing the organization.

As this discussion continued, Japan Atomic Industrial Forum Representative Masao Hori remarked that Criterion C-1 was established as a target for a donation by PBNC hosts, and was not a compulsory donation. Subsequent speakers concurred with this historical background information and agreed that the current criterion may be difficult to achieve for some PNC member organizations.

Canadian Nuclear Society Representative Benjamin Rouben next proposed that the criterion be revised to state that a target of up to $10k U.S. Dollars be donated to the PNC, and there was a consensus, although not unanimous, to support this revision. The Council then proposed, voted on, and approved the following revision to PBNC Criterion C-1: $20 per person/PBNC registrant, up to a maximum target of $10,000 U.S. Dollars, shall be donated to the PNC, beginning with the 15th PBNC.

In addition, the Council proposed, voted on, and unanimously approved revision of Criterion C-2, Contribution of Proceedings, to:

- replace the current word volumes with the word copies; and
- replace the current words Member country with member organization.

The initial revision above updates the criterion for use of the CD-ROM proceedings publishing format, while the second revision is only editorial.

The Vice President then recessed the PNC meeting for lunch at 1230 hours, and the PNC meeting reconvened at 1400 hours.

15. **PNC Future Direction** - Vice President Mamoru Akiyama.

- New PNC charter - The Vice President distributed copies of the revised charter that was approved by PNC member organizations on April 25, 2000. See Attachment J.
- New members - Vice President Akiyama provided a status report on membership recruiting activities. He stated that Immediate Past President Rolland A. Langley had previously drafted a general invitation letter to encourage increased membership in the PNC. The Immediate Past President was requested to finalize this letter at the June 17, 2001 PNC meeting -- for distribution to each PNC member organization for the primary purpose of inviting prospective commercial and industrial organizations within each respective country to join. This task had been accomplished, along with incorporation of the revisions requested by the Council on June 17, 2001 (see Item 15 of the meeting minutes), and the Vice President asked that meeting participants review the revised new member solicitation package dated July 30, 2001 (see Attachment K).

This revised new member package was then discussed, and these issues were identified:

- Should the Council give formal approval to this revised package?
- Should the dues be prorated, as given in the next to the last paragraph in the cover letter?
- Who should sign the cover letter and how should the new member packages be distributed?
Vice President Akiyama asked for recommendations from the meeting attendees on how to proceed. Initially, the Council confirmed the proposal to prorate the dues for new members, as given in the cover letter.

Next, a lengthy discussion ensued on the process for the distribution of this new member package. Proposals included:

- Australian Nuclear Association Representative Clarence J. Hardy suggested that the final package be sent to all PNC member organizations. Each member organization representative would then be responsible for compiling a promotion list within the member organization’s country. The final cover letter would be signed by the President of the respective member organization and distributed to this list.

- Canadian Nuclear Association/Canadian Nuclear Society Representative Frederick C. Boyd suggested that the cover letter be from the PNC President, with PNC member organizations responsible for distribution of promotion mailings to appropriate organizations within their respective countries.

- Latin American Section of the American Nuclear Society Representative Jorge Spitalnik recommended that prospective members in PNC member organization countries receive the promotion package through the relevant member organization. For those prospective members in non-member PNC countries, the solicitation package should be sent directly from the secretariat.

In addition, the cover letter as given in the revised new member package should be signed by the PNC President, and this package should be sent to all PNC member organizations. Within each country, the final new member promotion package should be distributed to prospective organizations by the respective member organizations, along with a personalized cover letter from the member organization.

The Council then took the following actions:

- Proposed, voted on, and unanimously endorsed the new member solicitation package of July 30, 2001, as presented by the Vice President, including confirmation that the PNC President should sign the cover letter;

- Proposed, voted on, and unanimously approved the addition of an Attachment C to this new member solicitation package ñ a complete list of PNC member organizations; and

- Proposed, voted on, and unanimously approved that the basic letter/new member solicitation package be sent to all PNC member organizations, with a request that they forward this package, along with a cover letter, to prospective PNC new member organizations in their countries, and that copies of all promotion letters sent by these PNC member organizations should also be sent to the secretariat.

In addition, new member solicitation packages are to be distributed directly by the secretariat to prospective new member organizations in Pacific Basin countries where an appropriate member organization has not yet joined the PNC.

Former PNC Secretary/Treasurer Octave J. Du Temple highlighted some of the challenges that would result from the use of the preceding new member solicitation process, cautioning the Council that while the intentions are for much success, it may be more difficult to acquire new members via this method than anticipated.
Dues structure - Vice President Mamoru Akiyama reminded meeting attendees of the new dues structure the Council approved on June 17, 2001 (see Attachment L). He explained that the Council instructed the interim secretariat to implement this revised dues structure effective with the assessment of 2001/2002 dues.

The issue of how PNC member organizations will be classified was next discussed. It was the consensus of meeting attendees that the interim secretariat solicit feedback from all PNC member organizations regarding its appropriate classification for implementation of the new PNC dues structure (technical and professional societies and associations or not for profit trade organizations and associations) and also request the current membership data from each member organization. For this communication, the new charter and dues structure should be attachments to the cover letter.

Proposing a relevant example, Japan Atomic Industrial Forum (JAIF) Representative Masao Hori stated that the Council should respect any formal opinion from JAIF on this issue -- which will confirm whether JAIF is a professional or a trade organization. In addition, the Vice President asked meeting participants to bring this issue to their respective PNC member organizations for discussion.

Permanent Secretariat - The Vice President explained that President WANG Naiyan could not conclude the finalization and distribution of Request for Proposal (RFP) for a Permanent Secretariat to Administer the Operations of the PNC. However, the President and the Vice President would like to conclude this requested action as soon as possible.

Vice President Akiyama reminded meeting participants that DRAFTs of this document were reviewed extensively by the Council at its October 29, 2000 and June 17, 2001 meetings. Subsequent to the Spring 2001 PNC meeting, Immediate Past President Rolland A. Langley had requested two additional revisions, and an updated RFP with the Immediate Past President's proposed revisions in boldface type, was now available for review and comment (see Attachment M).

Australian Nuclear Association Representative (ANA) Clarence J. Hardy noted the receipt of the revised RFP at this meeting is at least two months later than originally expected. ANA Representative Hardy further stated that he was disappointed that this set of decisions on the DRAFT RFP taken by the Council at its Spring 2001 meeting was not yet implemented: finalization of the RFP by the officers; final review and approval by President WANG; timely distribution to PNC member organizations; a deadline of September 30, 2001 for submission of proposals; and officer review of the RFP responses submitted. He noted the conflict in these sets of dates ñ between the original deadline for submission of responses to the RFP of September 30, 2001, and the actual receipt of a revised RFP for review and comment on November 11, 2001.

The Vice President asked for the help and advice of meeting participants on this issue, urging that finalization of the RFP, if possible, be completed at this PNC meeting. He was not sure of President WANG Naiyan's plans regarding this issue, but assumed that the President would like to obtain candidates in two to three months.

Immediate Past President Langley expressed surprise that the RFP had not already been issued, although he did confirm that he had not received the formal request from President WANG. He reconfirmed the Council decision regarding the RFP at its June 17, 2001, saying that it was decided to establish a deadline for submission of RFP responses to the PNC officers by September 30, 2001.
In the Immediate Past President's view, resolution of this issue should occur as soon as possible. For the purpose of creating stability and continuity for the PNC operations, he suggested the following action plan:

- To make appropriate individuals aware of this opportunity, the final RFP should be distributed to all PNC member organizations, especially those not at the Reno meeting, by November 23, 2001.

- Individuals would be requested to indicate their interest in submitting a proposal by December 7, 2001, after careful evaluation of this opportunity by all PNC member organizations.

- The deadline for submission of responses to this RFP would be December 21, 2001.

- The final decision could then be closed out in about six weeks by January 15, 2002.

Canadian Nuclear Association/Canadian Nuclear Society (CNA/CNS) Representative Frederick C. Boyd continued the discussion by clarifying that the RFP invites individuals, not organizations, to apply for the position of permanent PNC secretariat, and that PNC member organizations will be required to endorse individuals applying from their respective countries. In the opinion of CNA/CNS Representative Boyd, the preceding schedule proposed by the Immediate Past-President would not allow enough time for individuals from Canada to submit proposals.

The Immediate Past-President then asked CNA/CNS Representative Boyd to provide details for an amended solicitation process. In reply, CNA/CNS Representative Boyd said that the process would take a minimum of two months to determine interest, including internal discussions within Canada. First, the member organization(s) would need to inform their membership(s) of this opportunity, potential applicants would need to prepare a proposal, and these applications would need to be evaluated, and as appropriate, endorsed by the member organization. The entire process could take up to four months.

Second, CNA/CNS Representative Boyd questioned the dual reporting relationship now required in the RFP the PNC Executive Director reports to the PNC President and the Council. It was the consensus of meeting attendees that this reporting relationship was appropriate and that this section of the RFP not be revised.

ANA Representative Hardy advised the Council to make sure that the revised schedule would allow for sufficient time for the responses to be evaluated by the PNC Officers and for the final decision to be achieved at the next meeting. Atomic Energy Society of Japan Representative Shunsuke Kondo suggested that the deadline of February 28, 2002 be established for the submission of proposals, and it was the consensus of meeting attendees that this deadline was acceptable.

Further, the Council approved the revisions to the RFP, Overview Section (page one) and Scope of Operation Section (page three) as requested by the Immediate Past President subsequent to the Spring 2001 PNC meeting. The Council also unanimously approved additional requested revisions to the Mandatory Statement of Support by PNC Member Organization Section (page five). Finally, the Council unanimously confirmed that the Deadline for Submission of Proposals Section (page six) be revised to February 28, 2002.

The Council next instructed the interim secretariat to promptly revise the RFP and distribute this document to all PNC member organizations as soon as possible. In turn, the Vice President agreed to inform President WANG of conclusions on this issue reached by the Council. Latin American Section of the American Nuclear Society Representative Jorge Spitalnik explained that his organization may endorse more than one nominee
for the PNC Executive Director, and in this instance, it would be up to the Council Officers to decide which of
the candidates to select.

Finally, ANA Representative Hardy reminded meeting attendees of a provision in the Terms of Agreement
Section of the RFP (page five) that calls for the generation of a realistic plan for how the PNC will generate
revenue. Thus, at a minimum, the organization will achieve a breakeven financial position by the end of the
third year of the agreement. He noted that it will be a key responsibility of PNC Executive Director nominees
to develop an innovative plan to improve the balance between the organization's revenues and expenses as
described in the RFP.

- **New Tasks** - The Vice President stated that this issue is a continuing item on the agenda to identify
  how PNC activities can be improved.

He reminded meeting participants that President WANG Naiyan had previously recommended a proposed
activity for nuclear technology applications ñ in particular, non-power applications such as food irradiation,
agriculture, and radioisotopes ñ at the October 29, 2000 PNC meeting. Australian Nuclear Association
(ANA) Representative Clarence J. Hardy had offered the help of the ANA for the specific application of
radioisotopes and in general for establishment of an activity on non-power applications. It was the
consensus of the Council that President WANG proceed as previously discussed and forward a DRAFT
document outlining the initial tasks and organization for this proposed activity to the ANA Representative for
consideration as soon as possible. Vice President Akiyama agreed to advise the President of this action
item.

16. **Pacific Nuclear Council Web Site** -- Vice President Mamoru Akiyama for President WANG
Naiyan.

The Vice President noted that President WANG Naiyan, who was unable to attend this PNC meeting, had
the action to develop both short and long term plans on how to proceed with the PNC Web Site. He
summarized the follow up initiatives taken since the last PNC meeting, but concluded by saying that both
short and long terms options still remain to be resolved.

Vice President Akiyama then introduced the current PNC Webmaster, Mr. John Graham. Webmaster
Graham provided background information about the PNC Web Site, and again reminded meeting attendees
that the site address is: <http://www.etceteraweb.com/pnc/pnc.html>. He also presented an overview of the
web site's operations and organization, in response to a question from Australian Nuclear Association (ANA)
Representative Clarence J. Hardy.

A brief discussion was next conducted on how to proceed, and it was also recognized, with regret, that
President WANG was unable to participate in this discussion. Canadian Nuclear Association/Canadian
Nuclear Society (CNA/CNS) Representative Frederick C. Boyd suggested that one option for the short term
would be to continue with PNC Webmaster Graham and compensate him. For the long term, according to
CNA/CNS Representative Boyd, the Council should defer further action until the PNC Executive Director is
acquired. ANA Representative Hardy agreed with CNA/CNS Representative Boyd's proposal on how to
proceed.

Upon selection and appointment of the PNC Executive Director, the Council should ask that he or she take
the lead in determining relevant long term PNC Web Site options for consideration by the Council. PNC
Webmaster Graham stated that a more unique domain name should be included as part of this long term
evaluation, and CNA/CNS Representative Boyd concurred with Webmaster Graham.
ANA Representative Hardy, CNA/CNS Representative Boyd, Latin American Section of the American Nuclear Society Representative Jorge Spitalnik, and Webmaster Graham provided cost estimates and/or comments about the operation of the PNC Web Site. The Council then proposed, voted on, and unanimously approved this motion: that current PNC Webmaster John Graham continue in this position and that the PNC compensate him per the approved budget. It was also the consensus of meeting attendees that long-nutesterm options for the PNC Web Site should await the selection and appointment of the PNC Executive Director. The Vice President agreed to review this discussion with President WANG.

17. PNC Statement on Terrorism - Latin American Section of the American Nuclear Society (LAS/ANS) Representative Jorge Spitalnik.

A DRAFT PNC Statement on Terrorism was presented for consideration by LAS/ANS Representative Spitalnik, as a result of a discussion earlier in the meeting (see Item #2 of these minutes). This DRAFT statement was developed by Immediate Past President Rolland A. Langley, former PNC Secretary/Treasurer Octave J. Du Temple, LAS/ANS Representative Spitalnik, and Atomic Energy Society of Japan Representative Shunsuke Kondo prior to the afternoon session of this PNC meeting.

After a brief discussion and a few revisions to the DRAFT statement presented by LAS/ANS Representative Spitalnik, the Council adopted a final statement (see Attachment N). LAS/ANS Representative Spitalnik then suggested that the statement be issued as a press release, and former PNC Secretary/Treasurer Du Temple provided examples of U.S. Government representatives who should receive the press release. All PNC member organizations will be asked to distribute the press release to policymakers in their countries.

18. Proposal for Election of the Next PNC Vice President/President-Elect - Vice President Mamoru Akiyama and Immediate Past President Rolland A. Langley for President WANG Naiyan.

Vice President Akiyama asked meeting attendees to review President WANG Naiyan's proposal of November 9, 2001 for the next election of the PNC Vice President/President-Elect. See Attachment O. He asked Immediate Past President Langley to provide background information on the most recent PNC officer election.

In reply, the Immediate Past President said that for the prior PNC election, an ad hoc nominating committee was appointed by the President, which initially consisted of Past President Stanley R. Hatcher, Chair; Past President Byong Whi Lee, Member; and then Vice President WANG Naiyan, Member. Since Nominating Committee Chair Hatcher was unable to fulfill his responsibility, the Immediate Past President replaced Hatcher as Chair of the Nominating Committee. A solicitation letter was prepared and sent to PNC member organizations, at the direction of the ad hoc committee. Two candidates were identified due to the committee’s efforts, and the election then proceeded in a timely manner.

Immediate Past President Langley further stated that he would be willing to chair the current nominating committee and proposed to proceed with a nominating committee process similar to the prior PNC election. The proposal submitted by President WANG and the willingness of Immediate Past President Langley to serve as nominating committee chair were approved by unanimous consensus of the meeting participants.

19. Date and Location of the Next PNC Meeting - Vice President Mamoru Akiyama and Atomic Energy Society of Japan Representative Hideki Nariai.
The Vice President explained that a proposal to host the next meeting of the PNC had already been received from the Atomic Energy Society of Japan (AESJ) and the Japan Atomic Industrial Forum (JAIF). He asked AESJ Representative Nariai to explain this proposal.

As requested by the Vice President, AESJ Representative Nariai stated the AESJ/JAIF discussed options for hosting the next PNC meeting, and as a result, are proposing the date of Sunday, April 21, 2002. The location proposed is either Tokyo or Omiya, JAPAN. AESJ Representative Nariai noted that this proposed next meeting date proceeds the JAIF Annual Conference, which will be conducted from April 22-24.

It was the unanimous consensus of meeting attendees that the PNC conduct its next meeting in Tokyo, JAPAN, on Sunday, April 21, 2002, and that AESJ/JAIF make available information about the meeting venue at their earliest convenience.

20. **Closing Remarks** - Vice President Akiyama.

The Vice President expressed his appreciation to meeting attendees for their contributions to the many important issues discussed during the meeting, as well as for their participation and feedback.

21. **Adjournment.** The meeting adjourned at 1700 hours.